G R S [X-5]

Previous references.

(Preserve)

1920-21

Later references.

File No 32-20

Serial Nos 14 & 16

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

Serial Nos. 14 & 16

Part B for Dec. 1920

SUBJECT

Establishment and other charges
Mayfair City

P(14) From The President, Mayfair City Corp. No 55 dt 2-11-20
Regarding sanction to an additional grant of Rs 70/- p.m. on account of enhanced D.A. Grants to Cotton Workers and Weavers and the urgency of an early decision

S(14) To The President No 7385 dt 21-12-20
Stating that the Rs 70/- are sanctioned to Textile Class Cotton Weavers

Textile Rep. dt 9/1/21

G R. S 11] 1920

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

(M)

NOTES

M (File No 22-20

FILE HEADING

Establishment and other charges Mysore city

From

Present, Mysore city M (1

No. 58 Dated 2-11-20 Received 4-11-20

Diary No	Inclos	Spare Copies	Serial No
3608			11

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER

Requests sanction to an additional expenditure of Rs 70 a month on account of enhancement of grants to certain Hakims and Vaidyas and the opening of an additional dispensary

NOTES AND ORDERS

Under Rule 27 of the M Account Manual the M are themselves competent to sanction the charge without reference to Govt.

NOTES—First Sheet

G. R. S. 121-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat — File No. 32 of 1921

Serial No

Draft subd.

DS 6/11/20

Under the revised rules 23 & 27 of the Mil Acct Manual the Cy-Mily may sanction this grant without reference to Govt. The policy however in this province in a case where there are plenty of Govt-institutions available, is unobjectionable

Sd. Subd

15-11

Rev Secy

(Fin member) Sd 20 11 20

I am not so sure that the position is so clear after all. The relevt rules do not seem to have the power under the authority quoted & I do not think any doubt should be cleared up.

Sd 23 11 20

And they can please put-

Under rules as at present existing and necessary under which grant is sanctioned

Sd 20 11 20

That may be done

Sd 22 11 20

NOTE—Contn. sheet

G R A [illegible]

General and Revenue Secretariat

3608

dal File No. 32 of 1920

Serial No	
	up an explanatory note with a copy of the revised rules
	lee 24 11 20
	Revised Rules are subd Flag F Under Rule 27 HE Council are competent to sanction all items of expenditure, Except in the three cases only specified, where previous sanction of Govt is necessary (in the case of City & Town HE Councils). The Expenditure of Rs 70 now proposed cannot be said to fall under any of these 3 cases as it is only a fault in aid The HE Council can also make the necessary reappropriation to meet the Extra Cost under the power Authority Rule 23. These are Extensions of powers granted to HE Councils in accordance with the policy of Govt enunciated in the G/O of 16 Nov'16.
	New Leaf / 26-11
	Dy Secy) lee 2 12 20
3164-56	The above interpretation
4 12 20	

NOTE—Contn. sheet

G R 3. [illegible] 2]

3604

General and Revenue Secretariat

File No. [illegible] of 19 20

Serial No

Seems time the in order As such the Supt Cl may sanction the grant in case on his own responsibility

Peel
9/12/20.

~~[illegible]~~

AN'S OFFICE

[illegible] DEC 8 [illegible]
No. 4094

Subd to Dewan Report in the orders

Lee
7/12/20

Weeks — Ipm
11/12/20 Lee
1 31/12

16 In the Finance Act No 7 of 325 of 21-12-20 States that ~~the~~ Supt Cl are competent to sanction Time Charge without reference to Govt

B

NOTE—Contn sheet

No 5861
Misc
3 11 20
Secl
1920

OFFICE OF THE PRESIDENT,

CITY MUNICIPAL COUNCIL MYSORE

Dated 25th October *1920*

D N° 3608
4-11-20

ASABHABHUSHANA DIWAN BAHADUR,

C SRIKANTESVARA AIYAR, B A, B L

PRESIDENT CITY MUNICIPAL COUNCIL

MYSORE
Ml 32-20 — 14

To. B Ramaswamaiya, Esquire B A B L

Secretary, to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore Revenue Department

Sir,

I have the honor to state that the Senior Hakim Government Unani Hospital Mysore as well as the 3 Hakims and one native pandit who are in receipt of grants from the Municipality have long been complaining of the insufficiency of their grants in view of the abnormal rise in the prices of drugs required for the preparation of medicines With a view to investigate their grievances and submit suitable proposals in the matter a Sub-Committee was appointed by the Council The recommendations of the Sub-Committee are as follows—

i The grants to the Hakims and Pandit to be increased as shown in the margin

Name	Mohalla	Present grant Rs	Enhanced grant Rs
1 Hakim Alli Peer	Mandi	20	30
. Hakim Mahomed Gouse Saheb	Do	20	30
Indigeneous Unani Di nsa	To get Rs 20 extra for medicine-		

ii Each of the mohallas in the City where there is demand be provided with a Native Hakim or Pandit and as such one of the two Hakims in Mandi mohalla be shifted to either Lashkar or Ittigegud mohallas where there

are none at present an additional Indigenous grant-in-aid Institution being opened in the remaining mohalla at a cost of Rs 20/- a month

2 The Council at their meeting held on 22nd May 1920 approved of the recommendations of the Sub-Committee with the modification that the grant to Pandit Lakshmanaraju of Krishnaraja mohalla be also enhanced by Rs 10/- A copy of the resolution of the Council is enclosed

3 The net result of these proposals is an additional expenditure of Rs 70/- a month

4 I therefore request that you may be so good as to obtain sanction of Government to the same

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obedient servant

President

MRV

Proceedings of the 1st (ORDINARY) meeting of the City Municipal Council, Mysore held in the Rangacharlu Memorial Hall on Saturday the 22nd May 1920 at 7-30 A M.

Present

Rajasabhabhushana Diwan Bahadur,

S. Srikanteswara Aiyar, B.A., B.L , President.

1. M.S. Krishna Rao, Esq , B.A., B.L , Vice-President.
2. Lakshmikanthi H Ranjumd raja Urs, Esq.,
3. Mahomed Jaffar Sahib, Esq ,
4. Lakshmiramma Ambi Ammah Pandit, Esq ,
5. M.S. Ranga Iyengar, Esq.,
6. M Narayanagowda, Esq ,
7. N. Satyaji Rao, Esq.,
8. Settappa Siddappa, Esq.,
9. Dhanapraksa D. Ranganah, Esq.,
10. [illegible], Esq.,
11. Ab ul Asim Pasha, Esq.,
12. [illegible], Esq., B A , B L ,
13. A. Krishna Rao, Esq., B A , B.L.,
14. Rev. H. Spencer.,
15. Rev Father D.F Laurent.,
16. M. Arunachalamjah, Esq.,
17. A. Subbanna, Esq.,
18. K. Thimmacharlah, Esq.,
19. M. Venkata Rathnam, Esq.,

Absent

1. Sirdar H. Gopalrichar Urs ,
2. M L Vasudevaiah, Esq.,
3. N Devichandra, Esq , B A ,
4. V Thiruvengada Mudaliyar, Esq.,
5. Gopaul Pandit, Esq ,
6. Mahomed Sait, Esq.,
7. K. Dharmakottaiah, Esq ,
8. Puttaparthanandagiri Goswamy, Esq ,
9. Kavarir Krom Subbayachetty, Esq ,

B Z B

XVIII Report dated 18th April 1920 of the Sub-Committee appointed to investigate the subject of grants-in-aid to Hakim and Vaidyas in the City.

Resolved that the general principles enunciated in the report of the Sub-Committee be adopted and given effect to immediately, with the following modifications as regards increase of grants to the existing Institutions :-

1 Nawab Mir Yusuf Alikhan to get Rs. 30 per mensem.

2 Hakim Ali Peer Sab to get Rs 30 per mensem

3. Hakim Mahamad Gouse Sab to get Rs. 30 per mensem subject to the condition that he shifts either to Lashkar or Ittigegud.

4. Pandit Lakshmanaraju to get Rs. 25 per mensem.

5. Indigenous Unani Dispensary (Devaraj Market) to get Rs. 30/- extra for medicine.

Sd/- C. Srikanteswara Aiyar,

President.

COPY

13/12

G R S. 20]

MC File No 32-20
Serial No 16

Diary No. of letter replied to 3609

No R 7325-B 21 12 20

General and Revenue Secretariat
( )
the 19

To
The President Municipal
City Mc d.

Date of issue 21 12 20

Signed by Sd/- Illegible Ramana

Sir,

With ref to your letter no 58 of 2-11-20 regarding the letter I am additional arrangements dispensary and the rice up granted to certain Hankins and Varadyas at present at the rate of Rs 7/8 a month, I am directed to state that under rule 27 of the Municipal Account Manual the Municipalities themselves are

over

SUBJECT

complement to Sanction
the charge without
reference to grant

I have etc

For Registrar

List of enclosures,

Copied by

Examined by

GPS—6,000—1-5-09